## THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

## **Docket No. 03-E-0106**

## In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company

## AFFIDAVIT OF PETER A. BENGELSDORF, SPECIAL DEPUTY LIQUIDATOR, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH AMETEK

- I, Peter A. Bengelsdorf, hereby depose and say:
- 1. I was appointed Special Deputy Liquidator of the Home Insurance Company ("Home"), by the Insurance Commissioner for the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of Home. I submit this affidavit in support of the Liquidator's Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with Ametek. The facts and information set forth are either within my own knowledge gained through my involvement with this matter, in which case I confirm that they are true, or are based on information provided to me by others, in which case they are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.
- 2. The motion seeks approval for the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") between Ametek, Inc. ("Ametek") and the Liquidator. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated under my supervision. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit A to the Liquidator's motion.
- 3. Home issued eight insurance policies to Ametek for policy periods between July 1, 1977 and July 1, 1981. Settlement Agreement, first Whereas clause. (Home also issued five workers compensation policies to Ametek. As set forth in the first Whereas clause, the workers compensation policies are excluded from the Settlement Agreement, and they are not

included in the summary below.) Ametek filed four proofs of claim in the Home liquidation regarding environment liability losses under the policies which are the subject of the Settlement Agreement (the "Policies").

- 4. The Liquidator and Ametek have negotiated a Settlement Agreement reflecting a resolution of the proofs of claim and all matters under the Policies. The Settlement Agreement is subject to approval by the Court. Settlement Agreement ¶ 1.
- 5. The Settlement Agreement provides that the Liquidator will recommend allowance of the proofs of claim with respect to the settled claims in the aggregate amount of \$900,000 as a Class II priority claim of Ametek under RSA 402-C:44. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(A). Allowance of the recommended amount as a Class II claim will fully and finally resolve the proofs of claim and all claims Ametek has under the Policies. Id. ¶2(B). Distributions based on that allowance will be made at the same intervals and at the same percentages as distributions to other Class II creditors of Home. Id. ¶2(C).
- 6. The Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the proofs of claim and all claims under the Policies. See Settlement Agreement ¶¶ 2(B), 5. To that end, the Settlement Agreement provides for mutual releases of all claims among the Liquidator, Home and Ametek arising from or related to the proofs of claim or the Policies. Id. ¶¶ 3, 4. The Liquidator also agrees not to pursue claims respecting the underlying matters covered by the proofs of claim against other insurers of Ametek that agree not to pursue such claims against Home. Id. ¶ 6.
- 7. The Liquidator is not aware of any third party claimants who have asserted claims under the Policies. However, in resolving all matters relating to the proofs of claim and the Policies, the Settlement Agreement contemplates denial of any third party claimants' claims under the Policies in the Home liquidation without prejudice to their claims against Ametek.

Accordingly, Ametek acknowledges in the Settlement Agreement that it is intended to resolve all matters between Ametek and the Liquidator/Home relating to the proofs of claim and the Policies, including asserted rights of third party claimants. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Ametek agrees to address, at its sole cost, the claims of claimants asserting claims against Ametek as if Ametek had no insurance coverage from Home under the Policies. Id. Ametek agrees to indemnify the Liquidator and Home against claims arising from the Policies up to the amounts ultimately distributed or distributable to Ametek. Id.

- 8. The denial of any third party claimants' proofs of claim without prejudice to their claims against Ametek will not harm the third party claimants, who will continue to have their claims against Ametek. As noted above, Ametek has agreed to address these claims as if it had no insurance coverage from Home under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Third party claimants' proofs of claim against the insolvent Home, if not denied with this agreement, would release Ametek from those claims up to the limits of the Policies but only entitle the third party claimants (assuming their claims were allowed) to a presently undetermined percentage distribution at the future date when a distribution is made. It is not expected that the allowed claims of any third party claimants (or other Class II creditors) will be paid in full. Under the Settlement Agreement, Ametek will continue to be responsible for any third party claimants' claims against it. See Settlement Agreement ¶ 5.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement reflects a compromise of the claims asserted in the proofs of claim. It is the result of negotiations involving the Claims Department, under my supervision, which has extensive experience in assessing the exposure presented by environmental losses and other claims under Home's insurance policies. The agreed settlement amount is based on careful evaluation and negotiation of coverage obligations under Home's

policies respecting the underlying liabilities of Ametek. The Liquidator accordingly recommends approval of the Settlement Agreement and allowance of the \$900,000 settlement amount as a Class II claim of Ametek in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44.

The Liquidator submits that the Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable and 10. in the best interests of the policyholders and creditors of Home.

Signed under the penalties of perjury this 12 day of July, 2013.

Special Deputy Liquidator of The Home Insurance

Company

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF VENTURA

On July 12, 2013 before me, Tina Le, Notary Public, personally appeared Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator of The Home Insurance Company, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

TINA TRINH LE

My Commission Expires NOVEMBER 27, 2016

Signature of Notary Public